

## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 05224 302013Z

50

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 EURE-00 SCI-06 CU-04 RSC-01 DRC-01 IO-14

ABF-01 OMB-01 TRSE-00 /054 W

----- 117537

P R 301920Z OCT 73

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 2434

INFO USIA WASHDC

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE USNATO 5224

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: PFOR, SCUL, NATO

SUBJECT: CICR MEETING OCTOBER 25, 1973: NATO FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

REF: (A) USNATO 5025; (B) STATE 209513

SUMMARY: MISSION WITHHELD FINAL ENDORSEMENT OF ONE-YEAR  
INTERIM APPROVAL OF NATO FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM. ALL BUT FRANCE  
AGREED ON COMPROMISE PROPOSAL  
THAT IF U.S. COULD AGREE ON INTERIM APPROVAL FOR CURRENT YEAR,  
PROGRAM FOR FUTURE WOULD HAVE GREATER INFORMATION ORIENTATION.  
BRITISH PROPOSED

THAT FELLOWSHIP FUNDS BE DIVIDED TO ALLOW CONTINUATION OF  
FELLOWSHIPS IN CERTAIN COUNTRIES AND ACTIVITIES THOUGHT TO BE MORE  
EFFECTIVE IN OTHERS. DEPARTMENT COMMENTS REQUESTED BY COB NOVEMBER 1.  
END SUMMARY.

1. THOUGH REF (B) APPROVES INTERIM CONTINUATION OF NATO FELLOWSHIP  
PROGRAM, MISSION TRIED AT OCTOBER 25 CICR MEETING TO IMPROVE ITS  
BARGAINING POSITION RATHER THAN ACCEDE IMMEDIATELY TO GENERAL DESIRE  
FOR INTERIM CONTINUATION.

2. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE SAID IF HE WERE TO HAVE ANY  
CHANCE OF CONVINCING HIS AUTHORITIES TO AGREE TO INTERIM  
APPROVAL OF FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM FOR CURRENT YEAR, HE  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 NATO 05224 302013Z

WOULD HAVE TO PRESENT FIRST OF ALL AT LEAST BROAD OUT-  
LINES FOR AN AGREED COMPROMISE SUCCESSOR PROGRAM FOR  
FUTURE YEARS.

3. FRENCH REPRESENTATIVE SAID HE COULD SEE NO CONNECTION BETWEEN INTERIM APPROVAL AND THE SHAPE OF EVENTUAL COMPROMISE FOR FUTURE.

4. AFTER MUCH DISCUSSION RESTATING PREVIOUS POSITIONS, DIRECTOR OF INFORMATION (KOREN) ASKED WHETHER AS A HELP IN GETTING U.S. TO AGREE TO INTERIM APPROVAL, CICR COULD AT LEAST AGREE THAT ANY FUTURE PROGRAM SHOULD HAVE DISTINCT INFORMATION FLAVOR AS WELL AS CULTURAL FLAVOR, RATHER THAN LEAVE PROGRAM AS FREE ACADEMIC EXERCISE.

5. MOST COUNTRIES ACCEPTED THIS PROPOSAL, SOME WITH MINOR RESERVATIONS. FRENCH REPRESENTATIVE SAID HE COULD NOT AGREE TO THIS NOW BUT WOULD TRY TO CONVINCE HIS AUTHORITIES.

6. WHILE THANKING KOREN FOR HIS ATTEMPT TO HELP REACH SOLUTION, U.S. REPRESENTATIVE SAID PROPOSAL WAS EXTREMELY VAGUE, NOT AT ALL WHAT HE HAD IN MIND. SAID THAT WEAK PROPOSAL WAS FURTHER WEAKENED BY FACT THAT IT DID NOT EVEN HAVE FULL ENDORSEMENTS OF ALL MEMBERS.

7. KOREN SAID NATIS HAD STARTED PROCEDURES FOR PRODUCING FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM PRINTED MATERIALS. FRENCH SAID THAT THREE WEEKS AFTER THIS MEETING MATERIALS HAD TO BE IN PARIS IN ORDER TO MEET PROGRAM TIMETABLE. KOREN SAID HE THOUGH MATERIALS WOULD BE READY IN ABOUT TWO OR THREE WEEKS.

8. JUST PRIOR TO CICR MEETING UK MISSION CIRCULATED COMPROMISE PROPOSAL PROVIDING THAT FELLOWSHIP BUDGET SHOULD BE DIVIDED ON EQUITABLE BASIS BETWEEN (A) THOSE COUNTRIES WHICH FIND FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM EFFECTIVE AND (B) THOSE WHICH WISH TO ABANDON IT. IN CASE OF FORMER, FUNDS WOULD CONTINUE TO BE ALLOCATED TO FELLOWSHIPS. IN CASE OF LATTER, FUNDS COULD BE USED FOR SUCH ALTERNATIVE PROJECTS AS VISITS TO NATO AND TRAVELING PANELS.

9. MISSION COMMENT: MISSION COULD TRY TO GET FRENCH TO AGREE TO LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 NATO 05224 302013Z

KOREN PROPOSAL (PARA FOUR) AND THEN ACCEDE TO GENERAL DESIRE TO ASK COUNCIL FOR ONE-YEAR CONTINUATION OF PROGRAM. BUT THIS WOULD NOT BE HIGHLY SATISFACTORY OUTCOME.

10. BRITISH COMPROMISE APPEARS TO HAVE MORE MERIT, BUT WAS NOT DISCUSSED AT MEETING. MISSION FAVORS SUPPORTING THIS COMPROMISE FOR CURRENT YEAR AND TO CONTINUE TO WORK FOR COMPLETE ABOLITION OF FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM IN FUTURE. WOULD APPRECIATE RECEIVING DEPARTMENT'S COMMENTS BY COB NOVEMBER 1.  
MCAULIFFE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 02 APR 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 30 OCT 1973  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** boyleja  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1973NATO05224  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** NATO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1973/newtext/t19731064/abqcecxz.tel  
**Line Count:** 109  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** n/a  
**Original Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** (A) USNATO 5025; (B) STATE 209513  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** boyleja  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 17 AUG 2001  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <17-Aug-2001 by elyme>; APPROVED <26-Sep-2001 by boyleja>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** CICR MEETING OCTOBER 25, 1973: NATO FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM  
**TAGS:** PFOR, SCUL, NATO  
**To:** STATE INFO USIA  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005